International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)
www.ijmer.com Vol.2, Issue.4, July-Aug. 2012 pp-1828-1871 ISSN: 2249-6645

Internal Differentiation, Comparative Variability, Structural Morpohology, Normative Aspect of Prognostication of Ipse Dixit NP Hard Problems-A Totalistic Paradigmatic Statement

[1]Dr. K. N. Prasanna Kumar, [2]Prof. B. S. Kiranagi, [3] Prof. C. S. Bagewadi

ABSTRACT: *We develop a progressive comparable to Bank's General Ledger, and call it a General Theory of all the problems under the head of NP hard problems. Problems have their variables. For instance "Travelling Sales man problem" can have various different cities. Based upon parameters classification is done and stability analysis, asymptotic stability and Solutional behaviour of the equations are investigated We eschew from stating any primary predications, coextensive representations, predicational anteriority of the Problems attributed to space constraints. In consideration to the parametric based classification and there is both ontological consonance, primordial exactitude, and phenomenological testimony as one finds in Bank's ledgers. General Ledger is in fact the statement of all inflows and outflows and such a one as that occurs in problems and theories in some conditions, like for example the conservation of energy breaking down in Hawking's radiation. Emphasis is laid on the fact that for instance a travelling salesman makes some move and then retracts to redress his move or starts another move to further his final destination. And this destination is General Ledger.-The General Theory Of all the NP (hard) problems. .It is a journey, a journey to find the final balance which probably never ends like an account never closes. So we are on to the journey……..*

I. INTRODUCTION

As stated in abstract we will not give any introduction, inconsideration to the leviathans' material and humungous literature on each subject matter for fear of missing woods for trees. On the other hand, for the interested reader the literature provides a rich receptacle, repository and treasure-trove of knowledge. And also because of space constraints. We note that the NP (HARD) problems are classified as follows:

1) **Boolean satisfiability Problem**
2) **N Puzzle**
3) **Knapsack Problem**
4) **Hamiltonian Path problem**
5) **Travelling Salesman Problem**
6) **Sub graph Isomorphism Problem**
7) **Subset Sum problem**
8) **Clique Problem**
9) **Vertex Cover Problem**
10) **Independent Set problem**
11) **Dominating set problem.**
12) **Graph Coloring Problem**

As in a Bank, various parameters are there for an account like balance standing, rate of interest, implications of inflation, money depression, depreciation of the currency, implications of Policies, philosophies and programmes of the Government, each problem has certain parameters. That Gravity is constant does not mean it does not depend upon the masses of individual particles and there is no total gravity. Stratification is done based on the parameters of each problem and then consummated with the other to form a monolithic diaspora for building the Model, which essentially as said is a progressive, nay a General Theory Of all the ways and means in which the problem can be solved be it by invocation or by abnegation and revocation of the action. Everything is recorded in the Computer and we draw up a Final General Ledger-nay The General Theory Of all NP (HARD) Problems. Essentially a prediction model, it as said analyses various other facets too.

GLOSSARY OF THE SYSTEME BOOLEAN SATISFIABILITY PROBLEM AND N PUZZLE

NOTATION :

G_{13} : **Category One Of Boolean Satisfiability Problem**
G_{14} : **Category Two Of Boolean Satisfiability Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{15}}$ **: Category Three Of Boolean Satisfiability Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{13}}$ **: Category One Of N Puzzle**
$\boldsymbol{T_{14}}$ **:Category Two Of N Puzzle**
$\boldsymbol{T_{15}}$ **:Category Three Of N Puzzle**

==========

GLOSSARY OF THE SYSTEM KNAPSACK PROBLEM AND HAMILTONIAN PATH PROBLEM:

==========

G_{16} : **Category One Of Knapsack Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{17}}$ **: Category Two Of Knapsack Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{18}}$ **: Category Three Of Knapsack Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{16}}$ **: Category One Of Hamiltonian Path Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{17}}$ **: Category Two Of Hamiltonian Path Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{18}}$ **: Category Three Of Hamiltonian Path Problem**

GLOSSARY OF THE SYSTEM:TRAVELLING SALESMAN PROBLEM AND SUBGRAPH ISOMERISM PROBLEM

==========

G_{20} : **Category One Of Travelling Salesman Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{21}}$ **: Category Two Of Travelling Salesman Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{22}}$ **:Category Three Of Travelling Salesman Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{20}}$ **: Category One Of Sub graph Isomerism Problem**
T_{21} : **Category Two Of Sub graph Isomerism Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{22}}$ **: Category Three Of Sub graph Isomerism Problem**

GLOSSARY FOR THE SYSTEM: SUBSET SUM PROBLEM AND CLIQUE PROBLEM

==========

G_{24} : **Category One Of Subset Sum Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{25}}$ **: Category Two Of Subset Sum Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{26}}$ **: Category Three Of Subset Sum Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{24}}$ **: Category One Of Clique Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{25}}$ **: Category Two Of Clique Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{26}}$ **: Category Three Of Clique Problem**

GLOSSARY FOR THE SYSTEM: VERTEX COVER PROBLEM AND INDEPENDENT SET PROBLEM

==========

G_{28} : **Category One Of Vertex Cover Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{29}}$ **: Category Two Of Vertex Cover Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{30}}$ **: Category Three Of Vertex Cover Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{28}}$ **: Category One Of Independent Set Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{29}}$ **: Category Two Of Independent Set Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{30}}$ **: Category Three Of Independent Set Problem**

GLOSSARY OF THE SYSTEM: DOMINATING SET PROBLEM AND GRAPH COLORING PROBLEM

==========

G_{32} : **Category One Of Dominating Set Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{33}}$ **: Category Two Of Dominating Set Problem**
$\boldsymbol{G_{34}}$ **: Category Three Of Dominating Set Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{32}}$ **: Category One Of Graph Coloring Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{33}}$ **: Category Two Of Graph Coloring Problem**
$\boldsymbol{T_{34}}$ **: Category Three Of Graph Coloring Problem**

ACCENTUATION COEFFICIENTS AND DISSIPATION COEFFCIENTS

==========

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$

==========

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ ,$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

==========

GOVERNING EQUATIONS OF THE SYSTEM BOOLEAN SATISFIABILITY PROBLEM AND N PUZZLE

==========:

The differential system of this model is now

$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{13}$ 1

$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{14}$ 2

$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\left(a'_{15}\right)^{(1)} + \left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{15}$ 3

$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{13}$ 4

$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{14}$ 5

$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\left(b'_{15}\right)^{(1)} - \left(b''_{15}\right)^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15}$ 6

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor 7

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor 8

GOVERNING EQUATIONS:OF THE SYSTEM KANPSACK PROBLEM AND HAMILTONIAN PATH PROBLEM

==========

The differential system of this model is now

$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16}$ 9

$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17}$ 10

$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18}$ 11

$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{16}$ 12

$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17}$ 13

$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18}$ 14

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor 15

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor 16

GOVERNING EQUATIONS: FO THE SYSTEM TRAVELLING SALESMAN PROBLEM AND SUBGRAPH ISOMERISM PROBLEM:

==========

The differential system of this model is now

$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20}$ 17

$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21}$ 18

$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22}$ 19

$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20}$ 20

$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21}$ 21

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22}$ 22

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor 23

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor 24

GOVERNING EQUATIONS:OF THE SYSTEM SUBSET SUM PROBLEM AND CLIQUE PROBLEM

==========

The differential system of this model is now

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24}$ 25

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\left(a'_{25}\right)^{(4)} + \left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25}$ 26

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26}$ 27

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24}$ 28

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[\left(b'_{25}\right)^{(4)} - \left(b''_{25}\right)^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25}$ 29

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26}$ 30

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor** 31

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** 32

GOVERNING EQUATIONS:OF THE SYSTEM VERTEX COVER PROBLEM AND INDEPENDENT SET

PROBLEM

==========

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 36$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 38$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor** 39

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** 40

GOVERNING EQUATIONS:OF THE DOMINATING SET PROBLEM AND GRAPH COLORING PROBLEM:

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 42$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 47$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor** 48

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** 49

FINAL CONCATENATED GOVERNING EQUATIONS OF THE SYSTEM:

(1)BOOLEAN SATISFIABILITY PROBLEM
(2) N PUZZLE
(3)KNAPSACK PROBLEM
(4)HAMILTONIAN PATH PROBLEM
(5)TRAVELLING SALESMAN PROBLEM
(6)SUB GRAPH ISOMERISM PROBLEM
(7)SUBSET SUM PROBLEM
(8)CLIQUE PROBLEM
(9)VERTEX COVER PROBLEM
(10)INDEPENDENT SET PROBLEM
(11)DOMINATING SET PROBLEM
(12)GRAPH COLORING RPOBLEM

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{13} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+\left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{14} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix}\left(a'_{15}\right)^{(1)}\boxed{+\left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{15} \quad 52$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{\left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 54 55 56

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 57

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 58 59

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+\left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for

category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{13}')^{(1)}\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{13} \quad (60)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{14}')^{(1)}\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{14} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{15}')^{(1)}\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{15} \quad (62)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 (63, 64)

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 (65, 66)

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 (67, 68)

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{16}')^{(2)}\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{16} \quad (69)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{17}')^{(2)}\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{17} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{18}')^{(2)}\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{18} \quad (71)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 (72, 73, 74)

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 (75, 76, 77)

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 (78)

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\frac{(b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}}{\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}}\right]T_{16} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[\frac{(b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}}{\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}}\right]T_{17} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\frac{(b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}}{\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}}\right]T_{18} \quad 81$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 *82*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\frac{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}}{\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}}\right]G_{20} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\frac{(a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}}{\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}}\right]G_{21} \quad 84$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\frac{(a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}}{\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}}\right]G_{22} \quad 85$$

86

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

87

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\frac{(b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}}{\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}}\right]T_{20} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[\frac{(b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}}{\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}}\right]T_{21} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-\left(b''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{22} \quad (90)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3* (91)

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-\left(b''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-\left(b''_{25}\right)^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

(92)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{24} \quad (93)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} \left(a'_{25}\right)^{(4)}\boxed{+\left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{25} \quad (94)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+\left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{26} \quad (95)$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{\left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+\left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

(96)

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{24} \quad (97)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} \left(b'_{25}\right)^{(4)}\boxed{-\left(b''_{25}\right)^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{25} \quad (98)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-\left(b''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{26} \quad (99)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-\left(b''_{25}\right)^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3 (100)

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-\left(b_{15}^{''}\right)^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

101

102

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{28} \quad (103)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+\left(a_{25}^{''}\right)^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{29} \quad (104)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+\left(a_{15}^{''}\right)^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{30} \quad (105)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3 (106)

And $\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+\left(a_{25}^{''}\right)^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+\left(a_{15}^{''}\right)^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

107

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{28} \quad (108)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-\left(b_{25}^{''}\right)^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{29} \quad (109)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-\left(b_{15}^{''}\right)^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{30} \quad (110)$$

where $\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3 (111)

$\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-\left(b_{25}^{''}\right)^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-\left(b_{15}^{''}\right)^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category

1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

112

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt}=(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a_{32}')^{(6)}+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)\\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\end{matrix}\right]G_{32} \quad 113$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}=(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a_{33}')^{(6)}+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)\\ +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\end{matrix}\right]G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt}=(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a_{34}')^{(6)}+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)\\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\end{matrix}\right]G_{34} \quad 114$$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3 115

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

116

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt}=(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(b_{32}')^{(6)}-(b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\end{matrix}\right]T_{32} \quad 117$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt}=(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32}-\left[\begin{matrix}(b_{33}')^{(6)}-(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\end{matrix}\right]T_{33} \quad 118$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt}=(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(b_{34}')^{(6)}-(b_{34}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\end{matrix}\right]T_{34} \quad 119$$

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3 120

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

121

Where we suppose 122

(A) $(a_i)^{(1)},(a_i')^{(1)},(a_i'')^{(1)},(b_i)^{(1)},(b_i')^{(1)},(b_i'')^{(1)}>0$, 123
$i,j=13,14,15$

(B) The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)},(b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$\left(a_i''\right)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ *124*

$\left(b_i''\right)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

(C) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}\left(a_i''\right)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$ 125

$\lim_{G\to\infty}\left(b_i''\right)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 126

$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 127

$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, T)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 128

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions 129

$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 130

(D) $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 131

(E) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and 132

$(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ 133

satisfy the inequalities 134

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$ 135

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

Where we suppose 136

(F) $(a_i)^{(2)}, \left(a_i'\right)^{(2)}, \left(a_i''\right)^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, \left(b_i'\right)^{(2)}, \left(b_i''\right)^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$ 137

(G) The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded. 138

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$: 139

$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le (p_i)^{(2)} \le \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)}$ 140

$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \le (r_i)^{(2)} \le (b_i')^{(2)} \le (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ 141

(H) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)}$ 142

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(2)}$ 143

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 144

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 145

$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ 146

$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), T_{19}\right)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ 147

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ 148

. (T_{17}', t) And (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the SECOND augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$: 149

(I) $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 150

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$: 151

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$ 152

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$ 153

Where we suppose 154

(J) $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i^{'})^{(3)}, (a_i^{''})^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i^{'})^{(3)}, (b_i^{''})^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22$ 155

The functions $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}, (b_i^{''})^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$

$(b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i^{'})^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$

$lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 156

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$ 157

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$: 158

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 159

$|(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{'}, t) - (a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} |T_{21} - T_{21}^{'}| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ 160

$|(b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G^{'}, t) - (b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G, T)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} ||G - G^{'}|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ 161

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{'}, t)$ and $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. $(T_{21}^{'}, t)$ And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the third augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous. 162

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 163

(K) $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 164

$(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i^{'})^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i^{'})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ 165 166

satisfy the inequalities 167

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i^{'})^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$ 168

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i^{'})^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$ 169

Where we suppose 170

(L) $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i^{'})^{(4)}, (a_i^{''})^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i^{'})^{(4)}, (b_i^{''})^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 171

(M) The functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}, (b_i^{''})^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i^{'})^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ 172

(N) $lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 173

$|(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{'}, t) - (a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)} |T_{25} - T_{25}^{'}| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$|(b_i^{''})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{'}, t) - (b_i^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), T\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)} ||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})^{'}|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{'}, t)$ and $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ 174

. $(T_{25}^{'}, t)$ and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 4$ then the function $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the fourth **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 175

(O) $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

(P)

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 176

(Q) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i^{'})^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i^{'})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose 177

(R) $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30$ 178

(S) The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

179

(T) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 180

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),(T_{31}))| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ 181

. (T_{29}',t) And (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ 182

is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 5$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the fifth **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 183

(U) $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive 184constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 184

(V) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose 185

$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$ 186

(W) The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

187

(X) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) = (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 188

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),(T_{35}))| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ 190

. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 6$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the sixth **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 191

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$ 192

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$:1 193

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)},\ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

194

Theorem 1: if the conditions (A)-(E)(first five conditions related to the system Boolean satisfiability problem) above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 195

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

196

If the conditions of second module pertaining to Knapsack problem and Hamiltonian Path Problem above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 197

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

198

If the conditions pertaining to the third module Sub graph Isomorphism problem and Subset sum problem above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 199

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

If the conditions of the fourth module Subset Sum Problem and Clique problem above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 200

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

If the conditions pertaining to the module five namely Vertex Cover Problem and Independent Set problem are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 201

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$ 202

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

If the conditions pertaining to Dominating set problem and Graph Coloring Problem above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 203

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: 204

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0, T_i(0) = T_i^0, G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)},$ 205

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 206

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 207

By 208

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + a_{13}''^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$ 209

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$ 210

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$ 211

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$ 212

$$\overline{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)} \quad 213$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

214

215

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}, \quad 216$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 217$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 218$$

By 219

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)} \quad 220$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)} \quad 221$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)} \quad 222$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)} \quad 223$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)} \quad 224$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

225

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}, \quad 226$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\bar{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad 227$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\bar{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad 228$$

By 229

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)} \quad 230$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)} \quad 231$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)} \quad 232$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)} \quad 233$$

$$\overline{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)} \quad 234$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 235

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}, \quad 236$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\bar{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad 237$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\bar{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad 238$$

By 239

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)} \quad 240$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)} \quad 241$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)} \quad 242$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)} \quad 243$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}\right)\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)} \quad 244$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 245

246

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}, \quad 247$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad 248$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad 249$$

By 250

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)} \quad 251$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)} \quad 253$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)} \quad 254$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)} \quad 255$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)} \quad 256$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

257

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}, \quad 258$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad 259$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad 260$$

By 261

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)} \quad 262$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)} \quad 263$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)} \quad 264$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)} \quad 265$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)} \quad 266$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

267

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 268

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\bar{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\bar{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\bar{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 269

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\bar{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\bar{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{14} , $G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$ 270

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 271

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 272$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 273

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{17} , $G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$ 274

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 275

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\bar{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\bar{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\bar{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 276

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \quad 277$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$ 278

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 279

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^0+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 280

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

(c) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 35,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 281

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^0+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^0+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 282

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \le \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^0}{G_{29}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

(d) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 283

$$G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^0+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^0+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 284

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \le \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^0}{G_{33}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$ 285

286

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 287

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 289$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself 292

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 291

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 292

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}$:

$$\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \le \int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{13}')^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$
From the hypotheses it follows
$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$ 293
And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the result follows
Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 294
If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(1)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.
Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 295
From the governing equations of the holistic system it results
$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(1)} - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$
$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$
Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 296
Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} and G_{15} . indeed if
$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating
$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$
In the same way , one can obtain
$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$
If G_{14} or G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.
Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} and G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 297
Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(1)}(G(t), t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 298
Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :
Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$
$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_i)^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$
Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to 299
$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results
$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(t), t) = (b'_{15})^{(1)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations solution to the governing equations of the global system
300
It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 301
$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have
$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ 302
303
$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ 304
305
In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself 306
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 307
$d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$
$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$
Indeed if we denote 308
Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$
It results 309
$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)} \left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} ds_{(16)} +$

$\int_0^t \{(a_{16}')^{(2)} \left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} +$
$(a_{16}'')^{(2)} \left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) \left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} +$
$G_{16}^{(2)} \left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)} \left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)} \left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} \} ds_{(16)}$
Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 310
From the hypotheses it follows
$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \leq$ 311
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$
And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (34,35,36) the result follows 312
Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 313
If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.
Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 314
From 19 to 24 it results
$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}\} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$
$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$
Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 315
Remark 3: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if
$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating
$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)} \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$
In the same way , one can obtain
$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)} \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$
If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.
Remark 4: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 316
Remark 5: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty} ((b_i'')^{(2)} ((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 317
Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :
Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$
$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)} ((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$
Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)} (m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 318
$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)} (m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right) (1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results
$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)} (m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{18}'')^{(2)} \left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 319
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42
320
It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 321
$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have
$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ 322
$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ 323
In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself 324
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 325
$d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$
$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}\}$

Indeed if we denote 326

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\big((G_{23}),(T_{23})\big)$

It results 327

$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \le \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$
$\int_0^t \{(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$
$(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$
$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le$ 328

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} (\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}; (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}$ and $(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 329

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 330

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t) \ge G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i^{'})^{(3)} - (a_i^{''})^{(3)}\}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \ge 0$

$T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i^{'})^{(3)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 331

Remark 3: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain 332

$G_{22} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 333

Remark 5: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty} ((b_i^{''})^{(3)} \left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}^{'})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 334

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i^{''})^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \ge (a_{21})^{(3)} (m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 335

$T_{21} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)} (m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)} (m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\, \frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{22}^{''})^{(3)} \left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}^{'})^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions:

336

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 337

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ 338

$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \le (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ 339

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself 340

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 341

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 342

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\le \quad 343$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a_{24}')^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 344

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)},i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 345

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 346

Remark 3: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24}<(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1-(a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 347

Remark 5: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t))=(b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\to\infty$. 348

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t>t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)}-(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)<\varepsilon_4,T_{24}(t)>(m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}\ge(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}-\varepsilon_4T_{25}$ which leads to 349

$T_{25}\ge\left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_4t})+T_{25}^0e^{-\varepsilon_4t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25}\ge\left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right)=(b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions ;

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30},T_{28},T_{29},T_{30}$

350

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}<1$ and to choose 351

$(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\le(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 352$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\le(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 353$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself 354

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 355

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$ 356

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \quad 357$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (35,35,36) the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 358

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 359

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i^{'})^{(5)}-(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i^{'})^{(5)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 360

Remark 3: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2=G_{29}^0+2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3=G_{30}^0+2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 361

Remark 5: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i^{''})^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t))=(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29}\to\infty$. 362

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t>t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}-(b_i^{''})^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)<\varepsilon_5,T_{28}(t)>(m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt}\ge(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}-\varepsilon_5T_{29}$ which leads to 363

$T_{29}\ge\left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_5t})+T_{29}^0e^{-\varepsilon_5t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29}\ge\left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right)=(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions;

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

364

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 365

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\bar{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 366$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\bar{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 367$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself 368

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 369

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} + \quad 370$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \quad 371$$

$$\frac{1}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 372

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 373

From governing equations it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 374

Remark 3: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 375

Remark 5: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 376

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 377

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions 378

Behavior of the solutions 379

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} - (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b_{13})^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G, t) - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G, t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 380

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0, (u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 381

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 382

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 383

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined above

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 384

$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined above

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$

$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq\right.$ 385

$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a_{15}')^{(1)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)}t}] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}}$ 386

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}$ 387

$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b_{15}')^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)}t} \leq T_{15}(t) \leq$ 388

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 389

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a_{13}')^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b_{13}')^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions 390

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 391

(d) $\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 392

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b_{16}')^{(2)} + (b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b_{17}'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 393

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 394

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 395

(e) of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 396

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 397

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 398

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 399

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 400

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 401

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 402

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 403

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 404

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 405

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 406

and analogously 407

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{T}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{T}_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 408

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 409

$\mathrm{G}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})\mathrm{t}} \le G_{16}(t) \le \mathrm{G}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation above 410

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} \mathrm{G}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})\mathrm{t}} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} \mathrm{G}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ 411

$\big(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{S}_2)^{(2)})}\big[\mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})\mathrm{t}} - \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{S}_2)^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\big] + \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{S}_2)^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \le \mathrm{G}_{18}(t) \le$ 412

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)} - (a_{18}')^{(2)})}[\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} - \mathrm{e}^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}] + \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 \mathrm{e}^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\big)$

$\boxed{\mathrm{T}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \mathrm{T}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 413

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} \mathrm{T}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} \mathrm{T}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 414

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)} - (b_{18}')^{(2)})}\big[\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}t} - \mathrm{e}^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t}\big] + \mathrm{T}_{18}^0 \mathrm{e}^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 415

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)})}\big[\mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)}t}\big] + \mathrm{T}_{18}^0 \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)}, (\mathrm{S}_2)^{(2)}, (\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}, (\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)}$:- 416

Where $(\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a_{16}')^{(2)}$ 417

$(\mathrm{S}_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b_{16}')^{(2)}$ 418

$(\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)} = (b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

419

Behavior of the solutions 420

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b_{20}')^{(3)} + (b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G, t) - (b_{21}'')^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 421

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 422

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)},$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 423

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)},$ and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$ 424

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation above

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$ 425

$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le\right.$ 426

$\left.\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a_{22}^{'})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}}$ 427

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}$ 428

$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b_{22}^{'})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le$ 429

$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 430

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

431

432

Behavior of the solutions 433

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

(d) $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) - (b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 434

(e) By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0, (v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0, (u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and 435

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 436

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$, $(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously 437
438

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$ are defined

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 439
440

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 441$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation above

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 442$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 443$$

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}} \quad 444$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 445$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b_{26}')^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b_{26}')^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b_{26}')^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 446$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t} \quad 447$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 448

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a_{24}')^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b_{24}')^{(4)}$

$$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 449

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

(g) $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 450

(h) By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 451

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(i) If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

and analogously 452

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

453

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 454

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation above

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$ 455

$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+G_{30}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\leq G_{30}(t)\leq\right.$ 456

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\leq T_{28}(t)\leq T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}}$$ 457

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\leq T_{28}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}$$ 458

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}t}\leq T_{30}(t)\leq$$ 459

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 460

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions 461

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

(j) $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\leq-(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}+(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)}-(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\leq-(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}+(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)}-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)},(\nu_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},\nu^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 462

(k) By $(\nu_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and 463

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 464

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(l) If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(\nu_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(\nu_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)}<(\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(\nu_1)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)},\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)}<(\nu_0)^{(6)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)}=\frac{G_{32}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 465

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 466

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation above

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 467$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 468$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 469$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 470$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b_{34}')^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b_{34}')^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b_{34}')^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 471$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 472

473

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a_{32}')^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b_{32}')^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

474

Proof : From Governing equations we obtain 475

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} - (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(a) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 476

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 477

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$
$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 478

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t)=\frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}$, $\boxed{u^{(1)}(t)=\frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in concatenated equations of global system we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}=(a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)}=(\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}=(b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)}=(\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)}=(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)}=(u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t)=(u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

479

Proof : From the concatenated set of global governing equations we obtain 480

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}}=(a_{16})^{(2)}-\left((a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}-(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)}+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17},\mathrm{t})\right)-(a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17},\mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)}-(a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 481

It follows 482

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 483

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

(d) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 484

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 485

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 486

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 487

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 488

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 489

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem. 490

Particular case : 491

If $(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)} = (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)} = (b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

492

Proof : From Global equations we obtain 493

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 494

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$ 495

496

From which one obtains

(a) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 497

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 498

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 499

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in Global Equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)} = (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$ 500

Analogously if $(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)} = (b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From Global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(d) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 501

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 502

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

503

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 504

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(4)}(t)$:- 505

$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq v^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}$, $\boxed{v^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $\boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in Global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)} = (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $v^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)} = (b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

506

Proof : From concatenated set of equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)v^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)} v^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)} v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)} v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(g) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 507

$$v^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$ 508

(h) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 509

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(5)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

(i) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 510

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \le \nu^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \le \nu^{(5)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain
Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \le u^{(5)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

511

Proof : From Global equations we obtain 512

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

513

(j) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 514

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

(k) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 515

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

(l) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 516

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)} = (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .
Analogously if $(b_{32}^{''})^{(6)} = (b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

517
518
We can prove the following 519

Theorem 3: If $(a_i^{''})^{(1)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions
$(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$
$(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$
$(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,
$(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$
$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system
If $(a_i^{''})^{(2)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 520
$(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 521
$(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 522
$(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 523
$(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{17}^{'})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 524
$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system
: If $(a_i^{''})^{(3)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 525
$(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$
$(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,
$(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{21}^{'})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$
$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system
We can prove the following 526
If $(a_i^{''})^{(4)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions
$(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$
$(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$
$(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,
$(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{25}^{'})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$
$with\ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system
If $(a_i^{''})^{(5)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 527
$(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$
$(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$
$(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,
$(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$ 528
$with\ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system
If $(a_i^{''})^{(6)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 529
$(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$
$(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a_{33}^{'})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$
$(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,
$(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{33}^{'})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$
$with\ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system Boolean satisfiability problem and N puzzle
$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 530
$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 531
$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 532
$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0$ 533
$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0$ 534
$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b_{15}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0$ 535
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 536
$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 537
$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 538
$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{18}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 539
$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0$ 540
$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0$ 541
$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b_{18}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0$ 542
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 543
$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 544
$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 545
$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 546
$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0$ 547
$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0$ 548
$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0$ 549
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 550
$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0$ 551

$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0$ 552

$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a_{26}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0$ 553

$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0$ 554

$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0$ 555

$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b_{26}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0$ 556

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 557

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 558$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 559$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 560$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 561$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 562$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 563$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 564

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 565$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 566$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a_{34}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 567$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 568$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 569$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b_{34}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 570$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 571

572

Proof: 573

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)}(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

574

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)}(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$$

575

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$$F(T_{23}) = (a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)}(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$$

576

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)}(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

577

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) =$
$(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}) +$
$(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

578

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) =$
$(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}^{'})^{(6)}(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}) +$
$(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^{*} :- 579

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^{*} for which $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)}+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{17}^{*} :- 580

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{17}^{*} for which $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

581

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a_{18}^{'})^{(2)}+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$ 582

Definition and uniqueness of T_{21}^{*} :- 583

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{21}^{*} for which $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{25}^{*} :- 584

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{25}^{*} for which $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{26}^{'})^{(4)}+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{29}^{*} :- 585

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{29}^{*} for which $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)}+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^{*} :- 586

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^{*} for which $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a_{34}^{'})^{(6)}+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

(e) By the same argument, the equations of global system admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 587

$\varphi(G) = (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$
$[(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G) + (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G)] + (b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G)(b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

(f) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 588

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$
$[(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b_{17}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19})] + (b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$ 589

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 590

(g) By the same argument, the equations of the global system admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 591

$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$
$[(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b_{21}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23})] + (b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

(h) By the same argument, the equations of the global system admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 592

$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$
$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right] + (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26})$, G_{24}, G_{26} must be replaced by their values . It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

(i) By the same argument, the global equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 593

$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$
$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right] + (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30})$, G_{28}, G_{30} must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

(j) By the same argument, the global equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 594
595
596
597

$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$
$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right] + (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34})$, G_{32}, G_{34} must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of the global system: 598

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$, $T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$ 599 600

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 601

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 602

$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 603

$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$, $T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$ 604

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution 605

Finally we obtain the unique solution 606

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T^*_{21} given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$, $G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$

$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$, $T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 607

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T^*_{25} given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$, $G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$

$T^*_{24} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T^*_{25}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]}$, $T^*_{26} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T^*_{25}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]}$ 608

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 609

G^*_{29} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T^*_{29} given by $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$, $G^*_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$

$T^*_{28} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T^*_{29}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]}$, $T^*_{30} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T^*_{29}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]}$ 610

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 611

G^*_{33} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T^*_{33} given by $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$, $G^*_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b_{34}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{34}^{''})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 612

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 613

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: _Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i$, $T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*}) = (q_{14})^{(1)}$, $\frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*}) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations of global system neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 614

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14}$ 615

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14}$ 616

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((a_{15}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14}$ 617

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 618

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 619

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((b_{15}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 620

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(2)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable 621

_Denote 622

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i$, $T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$ 623

$\frac{\partial (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)}$, $\frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^{*}) = s_{ij}$ 624

taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 625

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\big((a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)} G_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 626

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\big((a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 627

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\big((a_{18}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)} G_{18}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 628

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\big((b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(16)(j)} T_{16}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 629

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\big((b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(17)(j)} T_{17}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 630

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\big((b_{18}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(18)(j)} T_{18}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 631

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable. 632

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i$, $T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^{*}) = (q_{21})^{(3)}$, $\frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^{*}) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 633

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)} G_{20}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 634

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 635

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((a_{22}^{'})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)} G_{22}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 636

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(20)(j)} T_{20}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 637

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(21)(j)} T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 638

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(22)(j)} T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 639

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable. 640

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 641

$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i$, $T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^{*}) = (q_{25})^{(4)}$, $\frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^{*}) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 642

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 643$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 644$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 645$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 646$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 647$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 648$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable. 649

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 650

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)}$, $\frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{31})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations(global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 651

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 652$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 653$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 654$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 655$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 656$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 657$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable. 658

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 659

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)}$, $\frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{35})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations(global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 660

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 661$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 662$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 663$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 664$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 665$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 666$$

667

The characteristic equation of this system is 668

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)\Big]$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)\}=0$$
$$+$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\Big]$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$
$$+$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\Big]$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$
$$+$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\Big]$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)\Big]$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\Big)$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^{*}_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Acknowledgments:

The introduction is a collection of information from various articles, Books, News Paper reports, Home Pages Of authors, Journal Reviews, the internet including Wikipedia. We acknowledge all authors who have contributed to the same. In the eventuality of the fact that there has been any act of omission on the part of the authors, We regret with great deal of compunction, contrition, and remorse. As Newton said, it is only because erudite and eminent people allowed one to piggy ride on their backs; probably an attempt has been made to look slightly further. Once again, it is stated that the references are only illustrative and not comprehensive

REFERENCES

[1] Garey, Michael R.; Johnson, D. S. (1979). Victor Klee. Ed. Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. A Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences. W. H. Freeman and Co... pp. x+338. ISBN 0-7167-1045-5. MR 519066.
[2] Agrawal, M.; Allender, E.; Rudich, Steven (1998). "Reductions in Circuit Complexity: An Isomorphism Theorem and a Gap Theorem". Journal of Computer and System Sciences (Boston, MA: Academic Press) 57 (2): 127–143. DOI:10.1006/jcss.1998.1583. ISSN 1090-2724
[3] Agrawal, M.; Allender, E.; Impagliazzo, R.; Pitassi, T.; Rudich, Steven (2001). "Reducing the complexity of reductions". Computational Complexity (Birkhäuser Basel) 10 (2): 117–138.DOI:10.1007/s00037-001-8191-1. ISSN 1016-3328
[4] Don Knuth, Tracy Larrabee, and Paul M. Roberts, Mathematical Writing § 25, MAA Notes No. 14, MAA, 1989 (also Stanford Technical Report, 1987).
[5] Knuth, D. F. (1974). "A terminological proposal". SIGACT News 6 (1): 12–18. DOI:10.1145/1811129.1811130. Retrieved 2010-08-28.
[6] See the poll, or [1].
[7] http://www.nature.com/news/2000/000113/full/news000113-10.html
[8] Garey, M.R.; Johnson, D.S. (1979). Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. New York: W.H. Freeman. ISBN 0-7167-1045-5. This book is a classic, developing the theory, and then cataloguing many NP-Complete problems.
[9] Cook, S.A. (1971). "The complexity of theorem proving procedures". Proceedings, Third Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, ACM, New York. pp. 151–158.DOI:10.1145/800157.805047.
[10] Dunne, P.E. "An annotated list of selected NP-complete problems". COMP202, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Liverpool. Retrieved 2008-06-21.
[11] Crescenzi, P.; Kann, V.; Halldórsson, M.; Karpinski, M.; Woeginger, G. "A compendium of NP optimization problems". KTH NADA, Stockholm. Retrieved 2008-06-21.
[12] Dahlke, K. "NP-complete problems". Math Reference Project. Retrieved 2008-06-21.
[13] Karlsson, R. "Lecture 8: NP-complete problems" (PDF). Dept. of Computer Science, Lund University, Sweden. Retrieved 2008-06-21.[dead link]
[14] Sun, H.M. "The theory of NP-completeness" (PPT). Information Security Laboratory, Dept. of Computer Science, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu City, Taiwan. Retrieved 2008-06-21.
[15] Jiang, J.R. "The theory of NP-completeness" (PPT). Dept. of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Central University, Jhongli City, Taiwan. Retrieved 2008-06-21.
[16] Cormen, T.H.; Leiserson, C.E., Rivest, R.L.; Stein, C. (2001). Introduction to Algorithms (2nd ed.). MIT Press and McGraw-Hill. Chapter 34: NP–Completeness, pp. 966–1021.ISBN 0-262-03293-7.
[17] Sipser, M. (1997). Introduction to the Theory of Computation. PWS Publishing. Sections 7.4–7.5 (NP-

completeness, Additional NP-complete Problems), pp. 248–271. ISBN 0-534-94728-X.
[18] Papadimitriou, C. (1994). Computational Complexity (1st ed.). Addison Wesley. Chapter 9 (NP-complete problems), pp. 181–218. ISBN 0-201-53082-1.
[19] Computational Complexity of Games and Puzzles

First Author: **[1]Mr. K. N.Prasanna Kumar** has three doctorates one each in Mathematics, Economics, Political Science. Thesis was based on Mathematical Modeling. He was recently awarded D.litt., for his work on 'Mathematical Models in Political Science'--- Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India

Second Author: **[2]Prof. B.S Kiranagi** is the Former Chairman of the Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasa Gangotri and present Professor Emeritus of UGC in the Department. Professor Kiranagi has guided over 25 students and he has received many encomiums and laurels for his contribution to Co homology Groups and Mathematical Sciences. Known for his prolific writing, and one of the senior most Professors of the country, he has over 150 publications to his credit. A prolific writer and a prodigious thinker, he has to his credit several books on Lie Groups, Co Homology Groups, and other mathematical application topics, and excellent publication history.-- UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Third Author: **[3]Prof. C.S. Bagewadi** is the present Chairman of Department of Mathematics and Department of Studies in Computer Science and has guided over 25 students. He has published articles in both national and international journals. Professor Bagewadi specializes in Differential Geometry and its wide-ranging ramifications. He has to his credit more than 159 research papers. Several Books on Differential Geometry, Differential Equations are coauthored by him--- Chairman, Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu University, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India

==========