# The Queue M/M/1 with Additional Servers for a Longer Queue

G. S. Mokaddis<sup>1</sup>, S. A. Metwally<sup>2</sup>, B. E. Farahat<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3,</sup> (Ain Shams University, Department Of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo, Egypt)

**Abstract:** This paper deals with the queuing system M/M/1 with additional servers for a longer queue. Clearly the traffic intensity for this system will depend on the number of additional servers. The expected number of customers in the system, the probability of the additional of one server and the probability of the additional of two servers are obtained under the assumption that the number of additional servers depends on the number of customers in the system. The condition under which the M/M/1 queuing system with additional servers is profitable is discussed. A MATLAB program is used to illustrate this condition numerically. Finally, the maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters for this queuing system are obtained.

**Keywords:** Queuing system, traffic intensity, additional servers, customers, maximum likelihood estimate, queuing length.

## I. Introduction

In many queuing situations, normally the number of servers is dependent on the queue length. For instance, in a bank more and more windows are opened for service when the queues in front of the already open windows get too long. This procedure is sometimes used even in the case of airlines, buses, etc. The basic advantage in such a system is the inherent flexibility of service. Here we shall consider a simplified system of this type.

Suppose we deal with a queuing system in which the arrivals are individually in a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda$ , and service times of customers are negative exponential with mean  $\mu^{-1}$ . The first customer to come is the first to be served. As long as there are enough customers for service. As long as the number of customers in the system is greater than or equal to zero and less than or equal to N, there is only one server in the system. As the number of customers in the system increases to more than N and is still less than or equal to 2N, an additional server is added. This additional server is removed when the number of customers in the system decreases to N or less. As soon as the number of customers in the system goes beyond 2N, the number of servers will be three. Similarly, the third server will be taken off when the number of customers falls to 2N or below. Let  $\lambda_n$  and  $\mu_n$  be the arrival and service rates, respectively, when there are n customers in the system and so, for the described queuing model, we have

 $\begin{array}{ll} \lambda_n = & \quad \mbox{for every } n \ge 0 \ , \\ \mu_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mu \ ; & \quad \mbox{if } 0 < n \le N \ , \\ 2\mu ; & \quad \mbox{if } N < n \le 2N , \\ 3\mu ; & \quad \mbox{if } 2N < n \ , \\ \end{array}$ 

where,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\rho = (I \mu)$  be the arrival rate, service rate and traffic intensity respectively.

### **II.** System Equations

Assuming the limiting distribution of the number of customers in the system to be  $\{P_n\}$ . We obtain the following steady-state equations.

$$\begin{split} & \lambda P_0 = \mu P_1 \\ & ((+\mu)P_1 n = (P_1(n-1) + \mu P_1(n+1)), & 1 \le n \le N, \\ & ((+\mu)P_1 N = (P_1(N-1) + 2\mu P_1(N+1)), \\ & ((+2\mu)P_1 n = (P_1(n-1) + 2\mu P_1(n+1)), & N \le n \le 2N, \\ & ((+2\mu)P_1 2N = (P_1(2N-1) + 3\mu P_1(2N+1)), \\ & ((+3\mu)P_1 n = (P_1(n-1) + 3\mu P_1(n+1)), & n \ge 2N. \end{split}$$

## **Solution of the System Equations**

This system of equations can be solved recursively, or by using the general solution for single Markovian queuing models which is given as follows

For 
$$0 \le n \le N$$
;  
 $P_n = \Pi_1(i = 0)^{\dagger}(n - 1) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1))P_1 0)$   
 $= (\lambda / \mu)^n P_0$  : (writing  $\lambda / \mu = \rho$ )  
 $= \rho^n P_0$ . (2.1)  
For  $N \le n \le 2N$ ;  
 $P_n = \Pi_1(i = 0)^{\dagger}(n - 1) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1))P_1 0)$   
 $= [\Pi_1(i = 0)^{\dagger}(N - 1) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1))) = \Pi_1(i = N)^{\dagger}(n - 1) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1))) = P_0$   
 $= (\lambda / \mu)^N (\lambda / 2\mu)^{n \cdot N} P_0$ . (2.2)  
For  $2N \le n$ ;  
 $P_n = \Pi_1(i = 0)^{\dagger}(n - 1) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1))P_1 0)$   
 $= [\Pi_1(i = 0)^{\dagger}(N - 1) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1))) = \Pi_1(i = N)^{\dagger}(2N - 1) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1))) = K((_1i / \mu_1(i + 1)))$   
 $= (\lambda / \mu)^N (\lambda / 2\mu)^N (\lambda / 3\mu)^{n \cdot 2N} P_0$   
 $= \frac{1}{2^N 3^{n-2N}} \rho^n P_0$ . (2.3)

 $\sum P_n = 1$ 

Now, to determine  $P_0$  we can use the normalizing condition  $\prod_{n=0}^{n=0}$ and equations (2.1),(2.2) and (2.3) as follows 2 M M M 2N

<u>-</u>

$$\sum_{\substack{l=n=0}^{N}}^{n} \rho^{n} P_{0} \sum_{\substack{n=2N+1}}^{2N} \frac{1}{2^{n-N}} \rho^{n} P_{0} \sum_{\substack{n=2N+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{N} 3^{n-2N}} \rho^{n} P_{0} \qquad P_{0}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n=0}}^{N} \rho^{n} \sum_{\substack{n=N+1}}^{2N} \frac{1}{2^{n-N}} \rho^{n} + \sum_{\substack{n=N+1}}^{2N} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \rho$$

#### **III.** Profitable of using Additional Servers

Suppose the cost to the system due to the presence of a customer is  $C_1$  and the cost of bringing in an additional server is  $C_2$ . It is profitable to use the additional server only if its cost is less than that due to the waiting customers see [4].

Let Q be the long-run queue length of the standard queue M/M/1 with the same parameters. It is evident that the M/M/1 queuing system with additional servers is recommended only if

$$C_1[E(Q)-E(Q_1)]>C_2[P_r(N$$

where,

E(Q)=Expected number of customers in the system for the queuing model M/M/1 with no additional servers (standard).

 $E(Q_1)$ =Expected number of customers in the system for the queuing model M/M/1 with additional servers. We have

(3.1)

$$\begin{split} & E(Q_{1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n\rho^{n} p_{0} \\ & = \frac{1}{1-\rho} . \end{split} (3.2) \\ & Also, \\ & E(Q_{1}) = n=0 n^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} n\rho^{n} P_{0} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} n\rho^{n} P_{0} = \sum_{n=0}^{2N} n\rho^{n} P_{0} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{2N} \rho^{n} P_{0} + \sum_{n=2N+1}^{\infty} n^{n} \frac{1}{2^{N_{2}n-2N}} \rho^{n} P_{0} \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{N} n\rho^{n} P_{0} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} n\rho^{n} P_{0} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} n\rho^{n-1} \\ & = \frac{P_{0}\rho}{(1-\rho)^{2}} \sum_{[1+N\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N+1)\rho^{N}]} \\ & (3.4) \\ \text{Similarly,} \\ & \sum_{n=N+1}^{2N} n \frac{1}{2^{N_{2}n-N}} \rho^{n} P_{0} = \sum_{n=0}^{2N^{2}} P_{0} \frac{d}{dp} \left( \frac{\rho}{2} \right)^{N+1} \frac{1-\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{N}}{1-\frac{\rho}{2}} \right]_{=} \\ & \frac{\rho^{N+1}}{(2-\rho)^{2}} P_{0} \left[ 2(N+1) - 2^{N+1}(2N+1)\rho^{N} - N\rho + 2^{N+1} N\rho^{N+1} \right] . \end{aligned} (3.5) \\ & \text{And} \\ & \sum_{n=2N+1}^{\infty} n \frac{1}{2^{N_{3}n-2N}} \rho^{n} P_{0} = \frac{3^{2N+1}}{2^{N}} \rho^{n} \frac{d}{dp} \left( \frac{\rho}{2} \right)^{2N+1} \frac{1}{3-\rho} \right]_{=} \\ & \frac{\rho^{N+1}}{(2-\rho)^{2}} P_{0} \left[ 3(2N+1) - 2N^{0} \right] . \\ & \text{Subsituting by } (3.4), (3.5) \text{ and } (3.6) \text{ into } (3.3) \text{ it follows that} \\ \\ & E(Q_{1}) = \rho P_{0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{2}} \left[ 1+N\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N+1)\rho^{N} \right] \right. \\ & + \frac{\rho^{2N}}{(2(N+1)-2^{N+1}(2N+1)\rho^{N} - N^{0} + 2^{N+1} N\rho^{N+1} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho^{2N}}{(2(N+1)-2^{N+1}(2N+1)\rho^{N} - N^{0} + 2^{N+1} N\rho^{N+1} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho^{2N}}{(2(N+1)-2^{N+1}(2N+1)\rho^{N} - N^{0} + 2^{N+1} N\rho^{N+1} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho^{2N}}{(1+\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N+1)\rho^{N}} \\ & \quad + \frac{2^{N} (1+\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N+1)\rho^{N} + \frac{2^{N+1} N\rho^{N+1}}{(2(N+1)\rho^{N} - N^{0} + 2^{N+1} N\rho^{N+1} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{2^{N} (1+\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N+1)\rho^{N}}{(2(N+1)-2N^{0}} \right] \\ & \text{Abo, where } \\ & A = 2^{N} (2\rho^{N})^{2} (3\rho^{N})^{2} (1+N\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N+1)\rho^{N} \\ & \quad + 2^{N} (1+\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N+1)\rho^{N} \\ & \quad + 2^{N} (1+\rho^{N} (1+\rho^{N+1} \cdot (N$$

| IJMER | ISSN: 2249–6645 |

$$= \frac{P_0 \ 2^N \sum_{n=N+1}^{2^N} \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^n}{P_0 \rho^{N+1} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^N}{(2 - \rho)}}$$

And the probability of the additional of two servers ,  $\omega$ 

$$P_{r}(2N < Q_{1}) = \sum_{n=2N+1}^{\infty} P_{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=2N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{N} 3^{n-2N}} \rho^{n} P_{0}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{3^{2N}}{2^{N}} P_{0} \left(\frac{\rho}{3}\right)^{2N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\rho}{3}\right)^{n}}{\frac{\rho^{2N+1}}{2^{N} (3-\rho)}}$$

Substituting by (3.2), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.1) it follows that the modified M/M/1 queuing system is recommended only when

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{C_2}{C_1} < \frac{E(Q) - E(Q_1)}{P_r(N < Q_1 \le 2N) + 2P_r(2N < Q_1)} \\ & \frac{\rho}{P_r - \rho} - \rho P_0 \left[ \frac{A}{2^N(1 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho)^2(3 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ < \frac{\rho}{P_0 \rho^{N+1} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^N}{(2 - \rho)} + 2P_0 \frac{\rho^{2N+1}}{2^N(3 - \rho)} \\ < \frac{\rho P_0 \left[ \frac{P_0^{-1}}{1 - \rho} - \frac{A}{2^N(1 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho)^2(3 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ < \frac{\rho P_0 \left[ \frac{P_0^{-1}}{1 - \rho} - \frac{A}{2^N(1 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho)^2(3 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ < \frac{\rho P_0 \left[ \frac{P_0^{-1}}{1 - \rho} - \frac{A}{2^N(1 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho)^2(3 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ < \frac{P_0^{-1}}{(3 - \rho)\rho^N(2^N - \rho^N) + 2(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N}} \right] \\ < \frac{P_0^{-1}}{(3 - \rho)\rho^N(2^N - \rho^N) + 2(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N}} - \frac{\rho^{2N+1}}{2^N(1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)} - \frac{A}{2^N(1 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho)^2(3 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ \\ \frac{C_2}{(3 - \rho)\rho^N(2^N - \rho^N) + 2(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N}} \right] \\ \frac{2^N(3 - \rho)(2 - \rho - \rho^{N+1})}{(1 - \rho)^2} - \frac{\rho^{2N+1}}{(1 - \rho)} - \frac{A}{(1 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)} \right] \\ \frac{1}{\left[ \frac{(3 - \rho)\rho^N(2^N - \rho^N) + 2(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N}}{(1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)} \right] \left[ \frac{A}{(1 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)} \right] \\ \\ \frac{1}{\left[ \frac{(3 - \rho)\rho^N(2^N - \rho^N) + 2(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N}}{(1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)} \right] \\ \frac{1}{\left[ \frac{(3 - \rho)\rho^N(2^N - \rho^N) + 2(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N}}{(1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)^2(2 - \rho - \rho^{N+1}) - (1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)\rho^{2N+1} - A}{(1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N} - \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ \\ Substituting by A from equation (3.7) \\ \\ \frac{C_2}{C_1} < \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)} \left\{ \frac{2^N(2 - \rho)(3 - \rho)^2 \left[ \frac{(2 - \rho - \rho^{N+1}) - (1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)\rho^{2N}}{(1 + N\rho^{N+1} - (N + 1)\rho^N)} \right] \\ - (1 - \rho)(2 - \rho) \left[ (3 - \rho) \rho^{1}(2N + 1) + (1 - \rho)(2 - \rho)\rho^{12N} (3(2N+1) - 2N^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho) \right] \\ - 2^N (1 - \rho^{2}(3 - \rho)^2 P^N (2N + 1) - 2^{N+1}(2N + 1)\rho^N - N\rho + 2^{N+1} N\rho^{N+1} \right] \right] / \\ \end{array}$$

This can be simplified to

| IJMER | ISSN: 2249-6645 |

(3.8)

(3.9)

$$\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} < \frac{1}{(1-\rho)[(3-\rho)(2^{N}-\rho^{N})+2(2-\rho)\rho^{N}]} \\
= \frac{2^{N}(3-\rho)[2(N+1)-(3N+2)\rho+N\rho^{2}]}{(1-\rho)} \\
= \frac{2^{N}(1-\rho)(3-\rho)[2(N+1)-2^{-N+1}(2N+1)\rho^{N}-N\rho+2^{-N+1}N\rho^{N+1}]}{(2-\rho)} \\
= \frac{\rho^{N}[6(2N+1)-2(11N+3)\rho+(12N+5)\rho^{2}-2N\rho^{2}]}{(3-\rho)} \\
= .$$
(3.10)

From this inequality, depending on the decision variable, the largest value of  $C_2/C_1$  can be determined when *P* and N are given. Table (1) has been obtained from the inequality (3.10) for the purpose of illustration. MATLAB program is used to perform these calculations.

| Table (1) |         |         |         |         |         |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| /N/       | 0.1     | 0.2     | 0.3     | 0.4     | 0.5     |
| 1         | 4.1765  | 4.6119  | 5.1762  | 5.9293  | 6.9778  |
| 2         | 6.5671  | 7.4620  | 8.5872  | 10.0580 | 12.0807 |
| 3         | 8.8032  | 10.0205 | 11.5852 | 13.6639 | 16.5562 |
| 4         | 11.0264 | 12.5286 | 14.4718 | 17.0736 | 20.7233 |
| 5         | 13.2486 | 15.0296 | 17.3346 | 20.4265 | 24.7769 |

From this table, we can conclude that for any given value of N, the largest value of  $c_2/c_1$  decreases with decreasing values of  $\rho$ , that is with increasing values of service rates. The basic advantage in such a system is the inherent flexibility of service. Then there exists an optimal stationary policy (resulting in the least long-run expected cost) characterized by a single positive finite number N such that it is optimal to use the slow service rate  $\mu$  when the number of customers in the system is less than or equal to N, faster service rate  $2\mu$ when the number in the system is greater than N or less than or equal to 2N and more fast service rate  $3\mu$  when the number in the system is greater than 2N.

#### III. Maximum Likelihood Estimators of The Parameters

To find the likelihood function it is necessary to find the three basic components see [5].

1. the stationary distribution of number of units in the system with 2. the interarrival times of length  $t_i$  [each of which is exponential for n arrival units with contribution]

$$\prod_{(i=1}^{n} (e^{-(t_i)})]$$

3. the service time of durations  $t_i$  for k units with contribution Hence, the likelihood function may be written as.

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (e^{-(t_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu e^{-\mu t_i} P_u(u)); \quad \theta = [(,\mu]],$$
  
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (e^{-(t_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mu e^{-\mu t_i} \frac{1}{2^N 3^{u-2N}} \rho^u P_0;$$
  
$$(use equation (2.3))$$
  
$$= P_1 0 e^{\uparrow} (-"("T) e^{\uparrow} (-\mu t) (^{\uparrow} (n+u) \mu^{\uparrow} (k-u) k_1 1)$$

where,

 $\sum_{\substack{T=i=1\\Thus}}^{n} t_i \sum_{t=i=1}^{k} t_i \text{ and } k_1 \text{ is a constant }.$ 

$$ln[L(\theta)] = lnP_1 \mathbf{0} - (T - \mu t + (n + u)ln(\mathbf{+}(k - u)ln\mu + lnk_1\mathbf{1})$$

By partial differentiation with respect to (  $and^{\mu}$  , respectively, and equating the results by zeros, one can obtain

$$\prod_{(i=1}^k \mu e^{-\mu t_i})$$

$$-\left( \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{i}} \left( \theta = \theta^{*} \right) = -T + \frac{n+u}{(\widehat{\Box})} = 0 \quad (4.1)$$
  
and  
$$\mu \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu}}{\theta} \right]_{\theta = \widehat{\theta}} = \widehat{\mu} \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial \ln P_{\mathbf{0}}}{\partial \mu} - t + \frac{k-u}{\widehat{\mu}}}{\theta} \right]_{=0}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$  and  $\mathbf{QUOTE} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$  are the maximum likelihood estimators for the parameters  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ , respectively.

To obtain  $\hat{l}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  we need to use the following important theorem THEOREM (4.1),

For the birth-death process with rates, namely  $0 \le (n = (g_1 n), 0 \le \mu_n = \mu r_n)$  for n positive integer and both  $g_n$  and  $r_n$  are bounded functions of n [1],

We have

$$E(n) = -((\partial ln P_1 0) / \partial (= \mu^{0} \frac{\partial ln P_0}{\partial \mu})$$

a. . .

where  $P_0$  = delay probability and

E(n) = expected value of n .

Proof: It is clear that

$$P_n = P_1 \mathbf{0} \prod_{i} (i = \mathbf{0})^{\dagger} (n - 1) \underbrace{(\mu_i / \mu_i (i + 1))}_{\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}} \forall n \ge 1$$

$$P_0 = \begin{bmatrix} [1 + \Sigma_1 (n = 1)^{\dagger} \infty \prod_{i} (i = 0)^{\dagger} (n - 1) \underbrace{(\mu_i / \mu_i (i + 1))}_{\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} (-1)$$

Case (i): putting  $(\mu^i = (\mathcal{G}\mu^i)$ , it follows that

$$P_{\mathbf{0}} = \left[ \left[ \mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\downarrow}(n=1)^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\omega} \right] \right] \left[ \left( \mathbf{1} n \prod_{\downarrow} (i=0)^{\dagger} (n-1) \right] g_{\downarrow} i / \mu_{\downarrow}(i+1) \right] \right] \mathbf{1}^{\dagger} (-1)$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides of this equation and differentiating the resulting equation partially with respect to (yield

$$-(\partial lnP_1)$$

But,

$$-(\partial lnP_1\mathbf{0})/\partial (=P_1\mathbf{0} [\Sigma_1(n=1)^{\mathsf{T}} \circ \mathbb{K} n(^{\mathsf{T}}(n-1) \Pi_1(i=0)^{\mathsf{T}}(n-1) \mathbb{K} g_1i/\mu_1(i+1) ]$$

 $E(n) = \sum_{\downarrow} (n = 1)^{\uparrow} \infty \equiv [nP_{\downarrow}n = P_{\downarrow}0 [\sum_{\downarrow} (n = 1)^{\uparrow} \infty \equiv [n\prod_{\downarrow} (i = 0)^{\uparrow} (n - 1) \equiv (\downarrow i/\mu_{\downarrow}(i + 1)]]$ Therefore, it follows that

$$E(n) = -((\partial lnP_10)/\partial($$

Case (ii): putting  $\mu_i = \mu r_i$ , it follows that

 $P_0 = \left[ \left[ 1 + \sum_{i} (n = 1)^{\dagger} \infty \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\mu^{\dagger} n} \prod_{i} (i = 0)^{\dagger} (n - 1) \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\mu^{\dagger} n} \prod_{i} (i + 1) \right] \right] \right]^{\dagger} (-1)$ Taking the logarithm of both sides of this equation, it follows that

$$lnP_{0} = -ln[\mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\downarrow}(n=1)^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\infty} \| [\mathbf{1}/\mu^{\dagger}n \ \Pi_{\downarrow}(i=0)^{\dagger}(n-1)] (\mu^{i}/r_{\downarrow}(i+1)) ] ]$$

Therefore,

$$= P_{\downarrow} \mathbf{0} \left[ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\downarrow}(n=1)^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\omega} \equiv \mathbf{k} n/\mu^{\dagger}(n+1) \quad \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\downarrow}(i=0)^{\dagger}(n-1) \equiv (\boldsymbol{\lambda}/r_{\downarrow}(i+1) \mathbf{k} \right]$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\partial (nr_0)}{\partial \mu} = P_1 \mathbf{0} \left[ \mathbf{\Sigma}_1(n=1)^{\dagger} \mathbf{0} \equiv \mathbf{K} n/\mu^{\dagger} n \prod_i (i=0)^{\dagger} (n-1) \equiv (\mathbf{i}/r_1(i+1)) \right]$$

Thus, it is evident that

$$E(n) = \mu \frac{\partial ln P_0}{\partial \mu}$$

Hence, the theorem is proved.

дμ

21...D

Using theorem (4.1), equation (4.1) can be written in the form

$$-(\hat{r} (\partial lnP_1 \mathbf{0}) / \partial (+(\hat{r}T - (n+u) = \mathbf{0}))$$
$$E^{\hat{r}}(n) + (\hat{r}T - (n+u) = \mathbf{0}),$$
$$\widehat{\Pi} = \frac{1}{T[(n+u) - \hat{E}(n)]}$$

Also, by using theorem (4.1), equation (4.2) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\hat{\mu}(\partial \ln P_0)}{\partial \mu} - \hat{\mu}t + (k-u) = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\hat{E}(n) - \hat{\mu}t + (k-u) = \mathbf{0}$$

| IJMER | ISSN: 2249–6645 |

(4.3)

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{t[(k-u) + \hat{E}(n)]}$$
(4.4)

Where  $\hat{E}(n)$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of E(n).

Obtaining the value of  $\hat{E}(n)$  from each of the equations in (4.3), (4.4) and dividing the resulting values yields From (4.3)

$$T(\hat{\ } = (n + u) - E\hat{\ }(n),$$
  

$$E^{\hat{\ }}(n) = (n + u) - T(\hat{\ } .$$
  

$$(4.4)$$
  

$$t\hat{\mu} = (k - u) + \hat{E}(n),$$
  

$$\hat{E}(n) = t\hat{\mu} - (k - u),$$
  

$$(t\mu^{\hat{\ }} - (k - u))/(-T(\hat{\ } + (n + u)) = 1).$$
  
(4.5)

Recalling that  $\hat{\rho}$ , the maximum likelihood estimator of the traffic intensity  $\rho$ , is given by  $\hat{\rho} = C/\hat{\mu}$  and using equations (4.3), (4.4)ρ^ =

$$({}^{\prime}/{\mu}^{\circ} = (t[(n+u) - E^{\circ}(n)])/(T[(k-u) + E^{\circ}(n)])$$
  
(4.6)

This equation can be written in the form

$$t[n + u - \hat{E}(n)] - \rho T[k - u + \hat{E}(n)] = \mathbf{0}$$
(4.7)

Consider the special case M/M/1 on replacing  $\hat{E}(n)$  by  $\overline{1-\rho}$  into equation (4.7)

$$t\left[n+u-\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}\right] - \rho T\left[k-u+\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}\right] = \mathbf{0}$$
  

$$t\left[(n+u)(1-\rho) - \rho\right] - \rho T\left[(k-u)(1-\rho) + \rho\right] = \mathbf{0}$$
  

$$T(k-u-1)\rho^2 - [t(n+u+1) + T(k-u)]\rho + t(n+u) = 0$$
  
Replacing (k-u-1) and (n+u+1) by (k-u) and (n+u), respectively, in (4.8) yields.  

$$T(k-u)\rho^2 = [t(n+u) + T(k-u)]\rho + t(n+u) = 0$$
(4.8)

$$T(k-u)\rho^{2} - [t(n+u) + T(k-u)]\rho + t(n+u) = 0,$$
  

$$T(k-u)\rho(\rho - 1) - t(n+u)(\rho - 1) = 0$$
  

$$(\rho - 1)[T(k-u)\rho - t(n+u)] = 0$$
  

$$T(k-u)\rho - t(n+u) = 0$$
(4.9)

Then

-

From

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{t(n+u)}{T(k-u)} \quad , \tag{4.10}$$

is a solution for the modified equation (4.9) of degree two in  $\hat{P}$ . Using (4.5) and (4.10) it follows that  $a^{*} = t(n \pm u)/T(k - u) + (tu^{*} - (k - u))/(-T(n \pm u))$ 

$$\rho^{*} = (t^{\dagger}2 \mu(n+u) - t(k-u)(n+u))/(-T^{\dagger}2 ((k-u) + T(k-u)(n+u))),$$
  

$$-T^{\dagger}2 \rho((k-u) + T\rho(k-u)(n+u) = t^{\dagger}2 \mu(n+u) - t(k-u)(n+u)),$$
  

$$t^{\dagger}2 \mu(n+u) + T^{\dagger}2 \rho((k-u) = (n+u)(k-u)[T\rho + t].$$
(4.11)

Fo find 
$$\hat{\mu}$$
, put  $(\hat{\ } = \hat{\rho}^{*}\hat{\mu}^{*}$  into equation (4.11)  
 $t^{2}\hat{\mu}(n+u) + T^{2}\hat{\rho}^{2}\hat{\mu}(k-u) = (n+u)(k-u)[T\hat{\rho}+t],$   
 $\hat{\mu} = \frac{(n+u)(k-u)[T\hat{\rho}+t]}{t^{2}(n+u) + T^{2}\hat{\rho}^{2}(k-u)}$  (use equation(4.10))  
 $= \frac{(n+u)(k-u)\left[T\frac{t(n+u)}{T(k-u)} + t\right]}{t^{2}(n+u) + T^{2}\frac{t^{2}(n+u)^{2}}{T^{2}(k-u)^{2}}(k-u)}$   
 $= \frac{t(n+u)[n+u+k-u]}{t(n+u)[t+\frac{t(n+u)}{k-u}]}$   
 $= \frac{n+k}{t+T\frac{t(n+u)}{T(k-u)}}$  (from equation(4.10))

Thus

| IJMER | ISSN: 2249-6645 |

(4.14)

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{T}\hat{\rho}}$$
(4.12)  
To find  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  put  $\mu^{*} = (\mathbf{r}/\rho^{*})$  into equation (4.12)  
 $(\mathbf{r}/\rho^{*}) = (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})/(\mathbf{T}\rho^{*} + \mathbf{t})$ ,  
 $\hat{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{T}\hat{\rho} + \mathbf{t}}$ .  
Then, by using (4.10) it follows that  
 $\hat{\mu} = \frac{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{T}\hat{\rho} + \mathbf{t}} = \frac{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{t}}$ ,  
(4.13)

$$(\hat{r} = (\rho(n + k))/(T\rho + t) = (n + u)/T$$

#### REFERENCES

.

- [1]. Feller W. An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications ,Vol. John Wiley, New Yourk, 1972.
- [2]. Gross, D., and Harris, C.M. Fundamentals Of Queuing Theory. John Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [3]. Leonard, K. Queuing System, vol. I. John Wiley, New York , 1975 .
- [4] Mokaddis, G. S and Zaki. S. S., The Problem Of The Queuing System M/M/1 With Additional Servers For A Longer Queue. Indian J. Pure Applied Math.1 Vol. 3, No. 3, PP.78-98, 2000.
- [5]. Mokaddis, G.S. "The Statistical Point Estimation for Parameters of Some Queuing Systems" The Sixth International Congress for Statistical ,Computer Science ,Egypt,29 March-2 April pp.71-93,1981.
- [6]. Narayan, U.B. An Introduction to Queuing Theory ,Boston Basel, Berlin 2008.